



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,706	04/27/2001	Arun Shah	68110328.713	9552
23562	7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER & MCKENZIE			ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN	
PATENT DE	PARTMENT			_
2001 ROSS AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2300			2165	
DALLAS, TX 75201			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) SHAH ET AL. 09/844,706 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2165 Neveen Abel-Jalil All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Neveen Abel-Jalil. (1) Mr. Richard V. Wells (Attorney of Record). (2) Mr. Bob Earl (Inventor). (4)_____. Date of Interview: 12 August 2005. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] e)⊠ No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Tse et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0078018 A1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

This is a supplemental interview summer to make clear on the record that Mr. Richard V. Wells (Attorney of Record) was present for the telephone interview on 12 August 2005.

The Applicant requested the interview to discuss and clarify the invention in particular the limitations of "receiving a request to calculated measure" and "the allocated dimension level being undefined at a lowest dimension level" as stated in claim 1. The Applicant argued that instant invention breaks down and allocates data downwards thereby dynamically creating data in the undefined dimension. The Examiner disagreed in stating this step is not clearly interpreted or explained in claim language. The Examiner referred to the cited art Tse et al. page 4, paragraphs 0056-0061 in maintaining the rejection. No agreement was reached. Applicant stated a reply will be filed to the PTO shortly.